Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/640,530	DENINNO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	L. E. Crane	1623
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>L. E. Crane</u> .	(3)	
(2) Marvin Bressler.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 June 2004	Time: <u>3PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: None		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.	,	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
Applicant was advised of the need to amend the abstract to correct grammatical errors. Applicant agreed and authorized an examiner's amendment. Applicant was advised that the case was otherwise allowable and ready for issue.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all incurse. A brief summer. 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary	by the examiner appears in F	מונ וו מטטעכ.
The Com		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)